

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	711 1110 D.1 775	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/760,205	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	RRA20US	1331
24011 7590 12/19/2007 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			EXAMINER	
			UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AOSTIGIBAT			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/760,205	SILVERBROOK, KIA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Jason Uhlenhake	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	gears on the cover sheet w	ur the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a consult apply and will expire SIX (6) MONON, cause the application to become AF	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>ame</u>	<u>ndment filed 10/8/2007</u> .				
,	· —				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.L	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/760,205 Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong (U.S. Pat. 6,672,699) in view of Watrobski et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0140758) and Morita et al (U.S. Pat. 6,705715)

## Jeong discloses:

- and starter cartridge; the cradle has a print engine controller (101) is arranged to operate a number of cartridges having differing performance characteristics (Figure 3; Abstract; Column 2, Lines 14-26; Column 4, Line 63 Column 5, Line 17); and electrical contacts for connection with complementary contacts on the cradle such that the print engine controller can determine the performance capabilities of the cartridge and operate the pagewidth printhead accordingly (Figure 2; Column 2, Lines 15-31; Column 4, Lines 39-49)
- regarding claim 2, number of cartridges capable of replacing the starter cartridge have other performance characteristics that differ from the starter cartridge, the differing performance characteristics of the cartridges includes one or more of: ink capacity; number and types of inks (Column 4, Line 63 Column 5, Line 17)

## Jeong does not disclose expressly the following:

- **regarding claim 1,** each of the cartridges having an inbuilt page width inkjet printhead; facilitating replacement of the starter cartridge with another of the number of cartridges having faster print speed

#### Watrobski et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** each of the cartridges having an inbuilt page width inkjet printhead (Paragraph 0037), for the purpose of improving printing speed.

#### Morita discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** facilitating replacement of the starter cartridge with another of the number of cartridges having faster print speed (Column 21, Lines 51-67), for the purpose of improving print speeds.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of an inbuilt page width inkjet printhead as taught by Watrobski and Morita into the device of Jeong, for the purpose of improving printing speed.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Jeong (U.S. Pat. 6,672,699) as modified by Watrobski et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0140758) and Morita et al (U.S. Pat. 6,705715) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Eun (U.S. Pat. 6,033,053).

Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- **regarding claim 3,** wherein the printing speeds of the cartridges varies between 15 ppm to 60 ppm

#### Eon discloses:

- **regarding claim 3,** wherein the printing speeds of the cartridges varies between 15 ppm to 60 ppm (Column 4, Lines 27 – 37).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the printing speeds of the cartridges varies between 15 ppm to 60 ppm as taught by Eon into the device of Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita, for the purpose of increasing the speed of printing.

Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Jeong (U.S. Pat. 6,672,699) as modified by Watrobski et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0140758) and Morita et al (U.S. Pat. 6,705715) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Trafton et al (U.S. Pat. 6,851,799).

Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- **regarding claim 4,** wherein the ink capacity of the cartridges varies between 150ml of ink to 300ml of ink

#### Trafton et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 4,** wherein the ink capacity of the cartridges varies between 150ml of ink to 300ml of ink (Column 1, Lines 42 – 50)

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the ink capacity of the cartridges varies between 150ml of ink to 300ml of ink as taught by Trafton et al into the device of

Application/Control Number:

10/760,205 Art Unit: 2853

Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita, for the purpose of extending the life of the ink cartridge by increasing the ink capacity.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Jeong (U.S. Pat. 6,672,699) as modified by Watrobski et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0140758) and Morita et al (U.S. Pat. 6,705715) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Silverbrook et al (U.S. Pat. 6,238,115).

Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita discloses all the claimed limitations above except for the following:

- **regarding claim 5,** wherein the number and types of inks includes black, cyan, magenta, yellow, infrared and an ink fixative

# Silverbrook et al ('115) discloses:

- **regarding claim 5,** wherein the number and types of inks includes black, cyan, magenta, yellow, infrared and an ink fixative (Column 5, Lines 46 – 61)

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the types of inks include infrared and an ink fixative as taught by Silverbrook et al into the device of Jeong as modified by Watrobski and Morita, for the purpose of improving the quality of printing.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/8/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a plurality of print engine controllers for selectively tailoring the processing capacity to the cartridge performance capability) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSU

December 12, 2007

JULIAN D. HUFFMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER